

**FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**

AMERICAN COLLEGE OF  
OBSTETRICIANS AND  
GYNECOLOGISTS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG  
ADMINISTRATION, *et al.*,

Defendants.

CIV. NO. 20-1320

**MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF OF *AMICI CURIAE* MEDICAL  
ASSOCIATIONS**

HOWARD M. SHAPIRO (D. Md. Bar No. 14731)  
Howard.Shapiro@wilmerhale.com  
KIMBERLY A. PARKER  
Kimberly.Parker@wilmerhale.com  
LESLEY R. FREDIN  
Lesley.Fredin@wilmerhale.com  
ANYA C. OLSEN  
Anya.Olsen@wilmerhale.com  
AYANA D. WILLIAMS  
Ayana.Williams@wilmerhale.com  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
(202) 663-6000 (t)  
(202) 663-6363 (f)

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*

*Amici* the American Medical Association (“AMA”), American Academy of Family  
Physicians (“AAFP”), American Academy of Pediatrics (“AAP”), American College of Nurse-  
Midwives (“ACNM”), American College of Osteopathic Obstetricians and Gynecologists

(“ACCOG”), American Gynecological and Obstetrical Society (“AGOS”), American Society for Reproductive Medicine (“ASRM”), National Association of Nurse Practitioners in Women’s Health (“NPWH”), North American Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology (“NASPAG”), Planned Parenthood Federation of America (“Planned Parenthood”), Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (“SMFM”), Society of Family Planning (“SFP”), Society of Gynecologic Oncology (“SGO”), Society of Gynecologic Surgeons (“SGS”), and Society of OB/Gyn Hospitalists (“SOGH”), through their undersigned counsel, respectfully seek leave to file the accompanying brief as *amici curiae* in support of Plaintiffs. Proposed *amici* are medical and public health associations that are familiar with the clinical use of mifepristone (brand name Mifeprex®) for reproductive healthcare.

The AMA is the largest professional association of physicians, residents, and medical students in the United States. Additionally, through state and specialty medical societies and other physician groups, seated in the AMA’s House of Delegates, substantially all U.S. physicians, residents, and medical students are represented in the AMA’s policy-making process. The objectives of the AMA are to promote the science and art of medicine and the betterment of public health. AMA members practice in all fields of medical specialization and in every state.

AAFP, headquartered in Leawood, Kansas, is the national medical specialty society representing family physicians. Founded in 1947 as a not-for-profit corporation, its 136,700 members are physicians and medical students from all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Guam, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and the Uniformed Services of the United States. AAFP seeks to improve the health of patients, families, and communities by advocating for the health of the public and serving the needs of its members with professionalism and creativity.

AAP is a nonprofit professional organization founded in 1930 dedicated to the health, safety, and well-being of infants, children, adolescents, and young adults. Its membership is comprised of 67,000 primary care pediatricians, pediatric medical subspecialists, and pediatric surgical specialists. AAP has become a powerful voice for child and adolescent health through education, research, advocacy, and the provision of expert advice. AAP has worked with the federal and state governments, health care providers, and parents on behalf of America's families to ensure the availability of safe and effective reproductive health services.

ACNM is the professional association that represents the interests of 12,600 certified nurse-midwives ("CNMs") and certified midwives ("CMs") in the United States. ACNM promotes excellence in midwifery education, clinical practice, and research. With roots dating to 1929, ACNM's members are primary care providers for women throughout the lifespan, with a special emphasis on pregnancy, childbirth, and gynecologic and reproductive health.

Founded in 1934 and representing 2700 providers, ACOOG is a nonprofit, nonpartisan organization committed to excellence in women's health through the holistic practice of obstetrics and gynecology. The purpose of the ACOOG is to educate and support osteopathic physicians to improve the quality of life for women by promoting programs that are innovative, visionary, inclusive, and socially relevant.

AGOS is the premier national organization comprised of leading experts in Obstetrics and Gynecology. For over a century it has championed the highest quality of care for women and the science needed to improve women's health.

ASRM is a multidisciplinary not-for-profit organization dedicated to the advancement of the science and practice of reproductive medicine. Its members include approximately 8,000 professionals. ASRM accomplishes its mission through the pursuit of excellence in education

and research and through advocacy on behalf of patients, physicians, and affiliated health care providers.

The NPWH mission is to ensure the provision of quality primary and specialty healthcare to women of all ages by women's health and women's health focused nurse practitioners. Its mission includes protecting and promoting a woman's right to make her own choices regarding her health within the context of her personal, religious, cultural, and family beliefs. NPWH will strive to continuously improve access and quality of health care for women. This will be accomplished through excellence and innovation in continuing education and professional development; leadership in policy, practice, and research; and through support and services for our members.

NASPAG, founded in 1986, is dedicated to providing multidisciplinary leadership in education, research, and gynecologic care to improve the reproductive health of youth. Its focus is to serve and be recognized as the lead provider in Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology ("PAG") education, research, and clinical care, conduct and encourage multidisciplinary and inter-professional programs of medical education and research in the field of PAG, and advocate for the reproductive well-being of children and adolescents and the provision of unrestricted, unbiased, and evidence based practice of PAG.

Planned Parenthood is the oldest and largest provider of reproductive health care in the United States. Its mission is to provide comprehensive reproductive health care services and education and to advocate for public policies that ensure access to health services. Planned Parenthood affiliates operate more than 600 health centers that provide care to approximately 2.4 million individuals each year, and have provided care to one in five women in the United States. In particular, Planned Parenthood is at the forefront of providing high-quality reproductive health

care to individuals and communities facing serious barriers to obtaining such care—especially individuals with low income, individuals in rural and other medically underserved areas, immigrant populations, LGBTQ individuals, and communities of color. Planned Parenthood is also at the forefront of developing and promoting evidence-based standards for reproductive healthcare, including the use of telemedicine to expand access to care.

SMFM, founded in 1977, is the medical professional society for obstetricians who have additional training in the area of high-risk, complicated pregnancies. Representing over 5,000 members, SMFM supports the clinical practice of maternal-fetal medicine by providing education, promoting research, and engaging in advocacy to reduce disparities and optimize the health of high-risk pregnant women and their babies. SMFM and its members are dedicated to ensuring that medically appropriate treatment options are available for high-risk women.

SFP is the source for science on abortion and contraception. SFP represents approximately 800 scholars and academic clinicians united by a shared interest in advancing the science and clinical care of family planning. The pillars of SFP's strategic plan are: 1) building and supporting a multidisciplinary community of scholars and partners who have a shared focus on the science and clinical care of family planning, 2) supporting the production of research primed for impact, 3) advancing the delivery of clinical care based on the best available evidence, and 4) driving the uptake of family planning evidence into policy and practice.

The SGO is the premier medical specialty society for health care professionals trained in the comprehensive management of gynecologic cancers. With 2,000 members representing the entire gynecologic oncology team in the United States and abroad, the SGO contributes to the advancement of women's cancer care by encouraging research, providing education, raising standards of practice, advocating for patients and members, and collaborating with other

domestic and international organizations. In that mission, the SGO strives to ensure access to women's health care as part of an overall prevention strategy for gynecologic cancer.

The SGS membership is comprised of the key leaders in gynecologic surgery. The SGS mission is to promote excellence in gynecologic surgery through acquisition of knowledge and improvement of skills, advancement of basic and clinical research, and professional and public education.

SOGH is a rapidly growing group of physicians, midwives, nurses, and other individuals in the healthcare field who support the OB/GYN Hospitalist model. SOGH is dedicated to improving outcomes for hospitalist women and supporting those who share this mission. SOGH's vision is to shape the future of OB/GYN by establishing the hospitalist model as the care standard and the Society values excellence, collaboration, leadership, quality, and community.

The Court should grant *amici* leave to file the accompanying brief. *Amici*'s extensive experience in patient care provides them with a special understanding of the practice of medicine, and how that practice has adapted in response to the unique conditions created by the COVID-19 pandemic. *Amici* have firsthand experience in how clinicians have adopted or increased the use of telemedicine and delivery of prescriptions through the mail, even in situations that traditionally might have involved an office visit, and have been supportive of the expanded availability of telehealth. *Amici* are thus uniquely qualified to assist this Court in evaluating the in-person dispensing requirement in the Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy ("REMS") program for mifepristone (brand name Mifeprex®) and its effect on patients, including vulnerable populations such as native people, people of color, and low-income people,

who face heightened barriers in attempting to access health care at all times, but particularly during the current pandemic.

The proposed brief would support the Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction. No party's counsel authored the brief in whole or in part. No party or its counsel has contributed money to fund the preparation and/or submission of the brief.

All parties have consented to the filing of this brief. The proposed brief is attached as Exhibit 1.

Dated: June 3, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Howard M. Shapiro  
HOWARD M. SHAPIRO (D. Md. Bar No. 14731)  
Howard.Shapiro@wilmerhale.com  
KIMBERLY A. PARKER  
Kimberly.Parker@wilmerhale.com  
LESLEY R. FREDIN  
Lesley.Fredin@wilmerhale.com  
ANYA C. OLSEN  
Anya.Olsen@wilmerhale.com  
AYANA D. WILLIAMS  
Ayana.Williams@wilmerhale.com  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE  
AND DORR LLP  
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, DC 20006  
(202) 663-6000 (t)  
(202)-663-6363 (f)

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND**

AMERICAN COLLEGE OF  
OBSTETRICIANS AND  
GYNECOLOGISTS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

UNITED STATES FOOD AND DRUG  
ADMINISTRATION, *et al.*,

Defendants.

CIV. NO. 20-1320

**BRIEF OF *AMICI CURIAE* MEDICAL ASSOCIATIONS IN SUPPORT OF  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR A PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION**

HOWARD M. SHAPIRO (D. Md. Bar No. 14731)

Howard.Shapiro@wilmerhale.com

KIMBERLY A. PARKER

Kimberly.Parker@wilmerhale.com

LESLEY R. FREDIN

Lesley.Fredin@wilmerhale.com

ANYA C. OLSEN

Anya.Olsen@wilmerhale.com

AYANA D. WILLIAMS

Ayana.Williams@wilmerhale.com

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING

HALE AND DORR LLP

1875 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.

Washington, D.C. 20006

(202) 663-6000 (t)

(202) 663-6363 (f)

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*



**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. The In-Person Dispensing Requirement to Obtain Mifepristone is not Medically Necessary.....3

II. Mandated In-Person Dispensing Is Inconsistent with Public Health Best Practices During the SARS-CoV-2 / COVID-19 Pandemic.....5

III. The In-Person Dispensing Requirement Harms Clinicians and Patients.....7

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
<b>OTHER AUTHORITIES</b>	
<i>2008 Annual Meeting, Appendix 1 – Reference Reports</i> , American Medical Association, <a href="https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/2018-11/a18-reference-committee-reports.pdf">https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/2018-11/a18-reference-committee-reports.pdf</a> .....	3
<i>AMA supports Telehealth initiative to improve health care access</i> , American Medical Association (Mar. 19, 2020), <a href="https://www.ama-assn.org/press-center/press-releases/ama-supports-telehealth-initiative-improve-health-care-access">https://www.ama-assn.org/press-center/press-releases/ama-supports-telehealth-initiative-improve-health-care-access</a> .....	5
<i>AMA quick guide to telemedicine in practice</i> , American Medical Association American Medical Association (May 22, 2020). <a href="https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/digital/ama-quick-guide-telemedicine-practice">https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/digital/ama-quick-guide-telemedicine-practice</a> .....	5
<i>CARES Act: AMA COVID-19 pandemic telehealth fact sheet</i> , American Medical Association (April 27, 2020), <a href="https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/public-health/cares-act-ama-covid-19-pandemic-telehealth-fact-sheet">https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/public-health/cares-act-ama-covid-19-pandemic-telehealth-fact-sheet</a> .....	6
<i>Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 1.1.6</i> , American Medical Association, <a href="https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/ethics/quality">https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/ethics/quality</a> .....	8
<i>Coronavirus in the United States—Considerations for Travelers</i> , CDC, <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/travelers/travel-in-the-us.html">https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/travelers/travel-in-the-us.html</a> .....	7
Declaration of Allison Bryant Mantha in Support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for Preliminary Injunction, May 27, 2020 (Dkt. 11-3) .....	4
Felsenthal, Edward, <i>Front Line Workers Tell Their Own Stories in the New Issue of TIME</i> , TIME (April 9, 2020), <a href="https://time.com/5820326/planned-parenthood-telehealth-coronavirus/">https://time.com/5820326/planned-parenthood-telehealth-coronavirus/</a> /.....	6
<i>Improving Access to Mifepristone for Reproductive Health Indications</i> , ACOG (June 2018), <a href="https://www.acog.org/clinical-information/policy-and-position-statements/position-statements/2018/improving-access-to-mifepristone-for-reproductive-health-indications">https://www.acog.org/clinical-information/policy-and-position-statements/position-statements/2018/improving-access-to-mifepristone-for-reproductive-health-indications</a> .....	3
Letter from healthcare organizations and providers to Janet Woodcock, Director of the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, FDA (Apr. 28, 2020) .....	8
Letter from John Cullen, Board Chair, American Academy of Family Physicians to Stephen Hahn, Commissioner, FDA (Mar. 25, 2020) .....	9

Letter from Maureen Phipps, CEO, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Judette Louis, President, Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine, and Matt Granato, CEO, Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine to Stephen Hahn, Commissioner, FDA (Apr. 20, 2020) .....9

Letter from Michael Munger, Board Chair, American Academy of Family Physicians to Norman Sharpless, Acting Commissioner, FDA (June 20, 2019), <https://www.aafp.org/dam/AAFP/documents/advocacy/prevention/women/LT-FDA-MifepristoneREMS-062019.pdf>.....4

*Medical Review, Application No. 020687Orig1s020*, FDA Ctr. For Drug Evaluation & Research, (Mar. 29, 2016), [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/nda/2016/020687Orig1s020MedR.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2016/020687Orig1s020MedR.pdf) .....3

*Policy for Certain REMS Requirements During the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency, Guidance for Industry and Health Care Professionals*, FDA (Mar. 2020), <https://www.fda.gov/media/136317/download>.....7

Porter, *FPs Tackle Primary Care Spending, Other Weighty Topics*, American Academy of Family Physicians (October 12, 2018), <https://www.aafp.org/news/2018-congress-fmx/20181012cod-advocacy.html> .....4

*Prepare your practice for COVID-19*, CDC, <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/preparedness-resources.html> .....7

*Risk Assessment and Risk Mitigation Review(s)*, FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (Jan. 12, 2007), [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/nda/2012/202107Orig1s000RiskR.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2012/202107Orig1s000RiskR.pdf) .....4

Schreiber, Courtney A., et. al., *Mifepristone Pretreatment for the Medical Management of Early Pregnancy Loss*, N Engl J Med (June 7, 2018), <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1715726> .....2

*Telehealth Services Covered by Medicare and Included in CPT Code Set*, American Medical Association (May 1, 2020), <https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/2020-05/telehealth-services-covered-by-Medicare-and-included-in-CPT-code-set.pdf>.....6

*Using Telehealth to Care for Patients During the COVID-19 Pandemic*, American Academy of Family Physicians (May 7, 2020), <https://www.aafp.org/patient-care/emergency/2019-coronavirus/telehealth.html>.....5

**INTERESTS OF AMICI CURIAE**

*Amici* the American Medical Association (“AMA”), American Academy of Family Physicians (“AAFP”), American Academy of Pediatrics (“AAP”), American College of Nurse-Midwives (“ACNM”), American College of Osteopathic Obstetricians and Gynecologists (“ACCOG”), American Gynecological and Obstetrical Society (“AGOS”), American Society for Reproductive Medicine (“ASRM”), National Association of Nurse Practitioners in Women’s Health (“NPWH”), North American Society for Pediatric and Adolescent Gynecology (“NASPAG”), Planned Parenthood Federation of America (“Planned Parenthood”), Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine (“SMFM”), Society of Family Planning (“SFP”), Society of Gynecologic Oncology (“SGO”), Society of Gynecologic Surgeons (“SGS”), and Society of Ob/Gyn Hospitalists (“SGOH”) are medical and public health associations that are familiar with the clinical use of mifepristone (brand name Mifeprex®) for reproductive healthcare as well as how medical practice has adapted in response to the unique conditions created by the COVID-19 pandemic.

**SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT**

*Amici* ask this Court to grant Plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction to enjoin the enforcement, operation, and execution of the mifepristone in-person dispensing requirement for the duration of the COVID-19 pandemic. Mifepristone, in combination with misoprostol, is used to safely and predictably treat women seeking pregnancy termination or miscarriage care. The Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) requirement that mifepristone be dispensed in person is both medically unnecessary and burdensome to patients. While the FDA has relaxed certain in-

person requirements for access to other drugs in response to the COVID-19 pandemic and instead empowered clinicians to use their medical judgment, it has not done so for mifepristone.

In keeping with guidance from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (“CDC”) and the Department of Health and Human Services (“HHS”), health care professionals are attempting to limit certain person-to-person interactions and leverage telemedicine when, in the judgment of the clinician, it is medically appropriate to do so. Implicit in this guidance from the CDC and HHS is the recognition that physicians are best suited to determine when telehealth visits may be appropriate and when a patient requires an additional in-person visit. The in-person dispensing requirement prevents clinicians from using their judgment to determine how best to protect and treat their patients when providing abortion and miscarriage care. This results in unnecessary risk for patients in the midst of the current pandemic.

As the country works to contain the spread of SARS-CoV-2, the in-person dispensing requirement subjects patients to unnecessary risk by requiring them to travel even when not medically necessary, often interacting with others along the way to the clinician’s office. Due to lack of transportation, insufficient funds, and lack of childcare, low-income patients and patients of color are particularly likely to be exposed to unnecessary risks from the in-person dispensing requirement during the pandemic. Clinicians’ inability to exercise their judgment when providing miscarriage and abortion care thus particularly harms these populations. For these and the reasons set forth below, *amici* urge this Court to grant Plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction.

### **ARGUMENT**

Medication abortion involves two FDA-approved prescription medications: mifepristone and misoprostol, which in combination, cause pregnancy termination in a predictable time and

manner. In the two decades since its FDA approval, mifepristone has been safely and widely used to treat patients who seek abortion; more recently, in accordance with high-quality evidence, it has also been used to improve the efficacy and safety of miscarriage care.<sup>1</sup> The FDA has noted that major adverse events from the use of mifepristone, such as hospitalization, serious infection, and ectopic pregnancies, are “exceedingly rare, generally far below 0.1% for any individual adverse event.”<sup>2</sup>

Since 2000, under its authority to issue Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategies (“REMS”), the FDA has required that Mifeprex® and its generic mifepristone (“mifepristone”)<sup>3</sup> be dispensed in person, necessitating that a patient eligible for a medication abortion visit a prescriber’s hospital, clinic, or medical office to receive the medication, even if the patient will later take it at home (as the FDA permits). This is true even if the initial medical consultation was done through telehealth and the patient is otherwise not obtaining in-person services.

**I. The In-Person Dispensing Requirement to Obtain Mifepristone is not Medically Necessary.**

Even before the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic, medical professionals deemed the in-person dispensing requirement for mifepristone outdated, medically unnecessary, and burdensome. In 2018, the AMA adopted a resolution urging the FDA to lift the REMS on mifepristone. This recommendation was based on testimony supporting a long history of safe mifepristone use, low rates of serious adverse events, a mortality rate fourteen times less than pregnancy-related death,

---

<sup>1</sup> Schreiber, et. al., *Mifepristone Pretreatment for the Medical Management of Early Pregnancy Loss*, *New Eng. J. Med.* (June 7, 2018), <https://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMoa1715726>.

<sup>2</sup> See *Medical Review, Application No. 020687Orig1s020* at 47, FDA Ctr. For Drug Evaluation & Research, (Mar. 29, 2016), [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/nda/2016/020687Orig1s020MedR.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2016/020687Orig1s020MedR.pdf)

<sup>3</sup> The generic mifepristone has been available since 2019, and is subject to the in-person dispensing requirement.

and a showing that eliminating the mifepristone REMS would increase access to treatment.<sup>4</sup> The American Academy of Family Physicians (“AAFP”) adopted a similar resolution in 2018.<sup>5</sup> Speaking in support of the resolution, AAFP delegates noted that “the mifepristone REMS classification is not founded in evidence.”<sup>6</sup>

More recently, in 2019, AAFP urged the FDA to remove the REMS and Elements to Assure Safe Use (“ETASU”) for mifepristone in order “to conform to current evidence.”<sup>7</sup> AAFP explained that, at that time, nearly 3 million patients had used mifepristone since 2000, “with a high degree of effectiveness (over 97%) and minor complication risks (less than 1%).”<sup>8</sup>

In fact, the FDA has only imposed an in-person dispensing requirement on a small number of drugs, and mifepristone is unique among them. It is the only medication with an in-person dispensing requirement that a patient may take without clinical supervision in a place where she feels most comfortable.<sup>9</sup> Thus, even the FDA seems to agree with what the science shows: the in-person dispensing requirement does not contribute in any way to the drug’s safety profile. Underscoring this point, when mifepristone is used for purposes other than abortion or miscarriage – to treat Cushing’s syndrome – the same chemical compound is not subject to a

---

<sup>4</sup> *2008 Annual Meeting, Appendix 1 – Reference Reports*, American Medical Association, <https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/2018-11/a18-reference-committee-reports.pdf>. See also *Improving Access to Mifepristone for Reproductive Health Indications*, ACOG (June 2018), <https://www.acog.org/clinical-information/policy-and-position-statements/position-statements/2018/improving-access-to-mifepristone-for-reproductive-health-indications> (Position Statement citing publications in medical journals to conclude that “[e]vidence regarding the safety of mifepristone for medication-induced abortion, used by over 3 million women in the U.S. since FDA approval in 2000, supports the removal of the REMS and ETASU” and urging that “mifepristone for reproductive health indications be made available in retail pharmacies like other prescription drugs and without unique provider certification or patient consent requirements.”)

<sup>5</sup> Porter, *FPs Tackle Primary Care Spending, Other Weighty Topics*, American Academy of Family Physicians (Oct. 12, 2018), <https://www.aafp.org/news/2018-congress-fmx/20181012cod-advocacy.html>.

<sup>6</sup> *Id.*

<sup>7</sup> Letter from Michael Munger, Board Chair, American Academy of Family Physicians to Norman Sharpless, Acting Commissioner, FDA (June 20, 2019), <https://www.aafp.org/dam/AAFP/documents/advocacy/prevention/women/LT-FDA-MifepristoneREMS-062019.pdf>.

<sup>8</sup> *Id.*

<sup>9</sup> Decl. of Allison Bryant Mantha in Supp. of Pls.’ Mot. for Prelim. Inj. ¶ 58, May 27, 2020 (Dkt. 11-3).

REMS and may be obtained from a mail-order pharmacy that delivers the drug to the patient's home.<sup>10</sup>

The evidence-based medical practice simply does not support the in-person dispensing requirement even in non-pandemic conditions. The REMS and ETASU are medically unnecessary and do not promote patient health.

## **II. Mandated In-Person Dispensing Is Inconsistent with Public Health Best Practices During the SARS-CoV-2 / COVID-19 Pandemic.**

Limiting person-to-person interaction is critical to stopping the spread of SARS-CoV-2 and the disease it causes, COVID-19. For this reason, the AMA and other medical associations have advocated the use of telemedicine when appropriate and feasible and explained that “use of telemedicine and remote care services are critical to the safe management of the COVID-19 pandemic.”<sup>11</sup> AAFP similarly has stated that: “Telemedicine and virtual care have quickly become important tools in caring for your patients while keeping yourself and your staff safe as the COVID-19 pandemic quickly evolves.”<sup>12</sup> In light of the pandemic, in March 2020, the AMA, Physicians Foundation, Florida Medical Association, Massachusetts Medical Society, and Texas Medical Association announced the launch of a Telehealth Initiative to “help[] physicians implement telehealth services.”<sup>13</sup> The AMA recognizes that the pandemic “reinforces the need

---

<sup>10</sup> See generally *Risk Assessment and Risk Mitigation Review(s)*, FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (Jan. 12, 2007), [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/nda/2012/202107Orig1s000RiskR.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/nda/2012/202107Orig1s000RiskR.pdf).

<sup>11</sup> *AMA quick guide to telemedicine in practice*, American Medical Association (May 22, 2020), <https://www.ama-assn.org/practice-management/digital/ama-quick-guide-telemedicine-practice>.

<sup>12</sup> *Using Telehealth to Care for Patients During the COVID-19 Pandemic*, American Academy of Family Physicians (May 7, 2020), <https://www.aafp.org/patient-care/emergency/2019-coronavirus/telehealth.html>.

<sup>13</sup> *AMA supports Telehealth initiative to improve health care access*, American Medical Association (Mar. 19, 2020), <https://www.ama-assn.org/press-center/press-releases/ama-supports-telehealth-initiative-improve-health-care-access>.



for physician access to practical resources that will enable them to operate telehealth services efficiently while facilitating positive care team and patient experience.”<sup>14</sup>

In the months since the SARS-CoV-2 public health crisis began, health care professionals and their practices have evolved to include the use of telemedicine where effective to treat patients for a variety of issues, including many that traditionally would have involved an in-person evaluation. Each clinician makes his or her own determination as to when telemedicine may be appropriate and effective considering factors such as the patient’s medical history, the distance the patient would have to travel in order to obtain in-person care, precautions that may be taken to prevent the spread of the virus, and the patient’s own condition and concerns. To give an example, AMA published a twenty-six page guide listing the telehealth services covered by Medicare, including those that are covered for the duration of the public health crisis caused by SARS-CoV-2.<sup>15</sup> Services covered include diabetes care, ventilation management, blood pressure management, and post-natal care.<sup>16</sup> Similarly, Planned Parenthood affiliates expanded their use of telehealth to offer telehealth services in all fifty states.<sup>17</sup> The U.S. Drug Enforcement Administration is also permitting physicians to “prescribe controlled substances based on telehealth visits” during the public health crisis.<sup>18</sup>

Physicians are best positioned to determine in what instances telehealth visits would be appropriate and when in-person visits would best serve the patient. In sum, health care professionals are following CDC guidance and safeguarding the health of their patients by using

---

<sup>14</sup> *Id.*

<sup>15</sup> *Telehealth Services Covered by Medicare and Included in CPT Code Set*, American Medical Association (May 1, 2020), <https://www.ama-assn.org/system/files/2020-05/telehealth-services-covered-by-Medicare-and-included-in-CPT-code-set.pdf>.

<sup>16</sup> *Id.*

<sup>17</sup> Felsenthal, *Front Line Workers Tell Their Own Stories in the New Issue of TIME*, *TIME* (April 9, 2020), <https://time.com/5820326/planned-parenthood-telehealth-coronavirus/>.

<sup>18</sup> *CARES Act: AMA COVID-19 pandemic telehealth fact sheet*, American Medical Association (April 27, 2020), <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/public-health/cares-act-ama-covid-19-pandemic-telehealth-fact-sheet>.

telemedicine when, in their professional judgment, it is medically appropriate and in the best interest of the patient to do so. A mandate for in-person dispensing of mifepristone, regardless of the patient’s circumstances, is inconsistent with best practices for medical treatment under normal circumstances, and particularly during the pandemic when unnecessary travel to a health care facility carries a risk of exposure to a deadly virus.

The CDC has also urged people to stay home when possible and avoid travelling.<sup>19</sup> The administration has been supportive of the medical community’s efforts to reduce the risk to patients and clinicians. The CDC issued guidance to health care professionals, advising that “[I]everaging telemedicine whenever possible is the best way to protect patients and staff from COVID-19.”<sup>20</sup> Similarly, the CDC suggested using mail-order delivery to obtain medications, where possible, to mitigate risk. The FDA and HHS have also relaxed certain in-person requirements for access to regulated drugs. Specifically, the FDA announced that it would not enforce REMS requirements for laboratory testing or imaging before certain drugs can be prescribed as long as the “accommodations were made based on the judgment of a health care professional.”<sup>21</sup> In this way, the FDA is empowering health care professionals to exercise their professional judgment to best protect their patients, staff, and themselves, while continuing to provide necessary medical care. In keeping with this guidance from the country’s leading authority on preventing and controlling infectious diseases, telemedicine is being used where, in the judgment of the clinician, it is medically appropriate to do so.

---

<sup>19</sup> *Coronavirus in the United States—Considerations for Travelers*, CDC, <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/travelers/travel-in-the-us.html>.

<sup>20</sup> *Prepare your practice for COVID-19*, CDC, <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/preparedness-resources.html>.

<sup>21</sup> *Policy for Certain REMS Requirements During the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency, Guidance for Industry and Health Care Professionals*, p. 7, FDA (Mar. 2020), <https://www.fda.gov/media/136317/download>.

### III. The In-Person Dispensing Requirement Harms Clinicians and Patients.

The in-person dispensing requirement prevents clinicians from exercising their independent medical judgment when providing abortion and miscarriage care, resulting in medically unnecessary increased viral exposure for patients and practitioners. Medical ethics require medical professionals to provide the best possible care for patients. AMA policy provides that physicians individually and collectively share the obligation to ensure that the care patients receive is “safe, effective, patient centered, timely, efficient, and equitable.”<sup>22</sup> Yet the REMS on mifepristone in the context of abortion and miscarriage care prevent physicians from carrying out this obligation. Rather than evaluating the concerns of each patient individually, clinicians are forced to schedule an in-person visit even when the clinician has determined that such a visit would be detrimental to the patient’s health and well-being. Because of SARS-CoV-2, medically unnecessary in-person visits are particularly likely to negatively impact patients’ health and well-being.

For these reasons, dozens of health care organizations and hundreds of medical professionals (including some *amici*) have urged the FDA to remove the REMS for mifepristone during the SARS-CoV-2 epidemic, warning that “[t]he in-person requirements in the Elements to Assure Safe Use (ETASU) of the REMS for mifepristone, is hindering access to medication abortion care” and by not allowing physicians to determine when in-person visits are necessary, risks “jeopardizing the health and safety of both patients and healthcare providers.”<sup>23</sup> Medical associations have stressed that “[d]uring this public health crisis, it is imperative that patients, especially those who are vulnerable or who live in rural areas, can use telehealth services to

---

<sup>22</sup> *Code of Medical Ethics Opinion 1.1.6*, American Medical Association, <https://www.ama-assn.org/delivering-care/ethics/quality>.

<sup>23</sup> Letter from healthcare organizations and providers to Janet Woodcock, Director of the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, FDA (Apr. 28, 2020).

access needed care without unnecessary restrictions, particularly for medications that do not pose a risk of abuse or overdose,”<sup>24</sup> and that “these antiquated and superfluous requirements put patients and their physicians at risk, with no demonstrated benefit.”<sup>25</sup> Nevertheless, the FDA continues to maintain the restriction requiring an in-person visit during the public health crisis. Judicial intervention is thus necessary to ensure that patients seeking abortion and miscarriage care, like other patients, can access care in the safest manner – based on their clinicians’ best medical judgment.

### **CONCLUSION**

For the reasons stated above, *amici* urge this Court to grant the Plaintiffs’ motion for a preliminary injunction.

---

<sup>24</sup> Letter from John Cullen, Board Chair, American Academy of Family Physicians to Stephen Hahn, Commissioner, FDA (Mar. 25, 2020).

<sup>25</sup> Letter from Maureen Phipps, CEO, American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, Judette Louis, President, Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine, and Matt Granato, CEO, Society for Maternal-Fetal Medicine to Stephen Hahn, Commissioner, FDA (Apr. 20, 2020).

Dated: June 3, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Howard M. Shapiro  
HOWARD M. SHAPIRO (D. Md. Bar No. 14731)  
Howard.Shapiro@wilmerhale.com  
KIMBERLY A. PARKER  
Kimberly.Parker@wilmerhale.com  
LESLEY R. FREDIN  
Lesley.Fredin@wilmerhale.com  
ANYA C. OLSEN  
Anya.Olsen@wilmerhale.com  
AYANA D. WILLIAMS  
Ayana.Williams@wilmerhale.com  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING  
HALE AND DORR LLP  
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20006  
(202) 663-6000 (t)  
(202) 663-6363 (f)

*Counsel for Amici Curiae*